

# **Plant Archives**

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2025.v25.supplement-1.018

## GENETIC ARCHITECTURE FOR HEAT TOLERANCE IN BREAD WHEAT (TRITICUM AESTIVUM L.)

Chirag P. Chandramaniya<sup>1\*</sup>, Bhushan Kale<sup>2</sup>, Sampurna Bhattacharya<sup>3</sup>, Divya S. Patel<sup>1</sup> and Dhairya V. Makwana<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India
<sup>2</sup>Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India
<sup>3</sup>Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India
\*Corresponding author E-mail: chiragpatel91745@gmail.com (Date of Receiving : 18-10-2024; Date of Acceptance : 21-12-2024)

The demand for wheat is expected to increase due to population explosion but climatic factors such as heat stress are causing serious threat to wheat production. The present investigation was, therefore carried out to study the genetic variability for yield and its attributing morphological, physiological and quality traits. The comparative analysis of genotype performance across both environments revealed that heat stress had a pronounced detrimental impact on all traits evaluated. Severe heat stress resulted in decreased yield, while simultaneously enhancing flour quality traits such as WGC, PC, and SV in the wheat genotypes. The effective tillers/plant, spike length, grains/spike, biological yield/plant (g), harvest index, grain yield/plant (g), grain filling rate (g/day), grain filling duration and stem solidness showed ABSTRACT moderate to high GCV and PCV, high heritability and moderate to high genetic advance as per cent mean under both conditions which may be attributed to the preponderance of additive gene action and possessed high selective value and thus, selection pressure could profitably be applied on these characters for their rationale improvement and also showed greater diversity among the genotypes for these traits. The genotype GS/2019-20/7004 was found to be superior for the traits GY, ET, GFD, CCI and NDVI under heat stress environments. The mentioned genotypes offer substantial potential for upcoming wheat breeding projects aimed at increasing wheat yield by enhancing tolerance to environmental stressors.

Keywords : wheat, heat stress, genetic variability, heritability

### Introduction

Globally, there are many constraints in wheat production which poses a great challenge for farmers and breeders. Undoubtedly, one of the unavoidable hurdles is the unpredictable variability in rainfall and temperature, particularly the prevalence of heat stress, observed in arid, tropical, and subtropical areas across the globe. Indeed, high temperatures stand out as a frequent and significant form of abiotic stress among other environmental factors. According to a 2019 report by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), the continuous increase in greenhouse gas emissions is projected to result in a substantial rise of approximately 3.5°C in global temperatures by the end of the century. Wheat, the second most vital staple food globally, thrives across six continents, adapting to eco-climatic conditions. diverse It contributes substantially global nutrition, providing to approximately 21% of proteins and 20% of calories consumed worldwide (Anonymous, 2012 and Mishra et al., 2021). Globally, wheat spans approximately 224.09 million hectares, claiming the largest acreage

among all crops, with a yearly output of around 794.44 million metric tons (USDA, 2021). However, with the population steadily rising, demands are shifting once more. It is estimated that wheat output will need to increase by 60% in order to meet the demands of the growing population, which is expected to reach 9 billion people by the year 2050 (Rosegrant and Agcaoili, 2010). Hence, it's imperative to boost yields by at least 1.6% annually while enhancing tolerance to both abiotic and biotic stresses (Narayanan, 2018). However, meeting this demand faces a significant hurdle in the form of high temperature stress during crucial wheat growth stages, notably the grain filling stage. Still, as a crop for the cool season, it is vulnerable to heat stress. Heat stress is one of the biggest barriers to wheat production in the context of a environment. Achieving this changing goal necessitates the development of high-yielding, climatesmart varieties resilient to abiotic stressors through rigorous selection in real-world field conditions.

After rice, India's short, dry winter season (November to March) produces around 80 percent of the world's wheat. India is a subtropical country. Research indicates that the main cause of India's low wheat output yield is late seeding. Every day that the ideal sowing date of November 30 is missed results in a 1.3% daily drop in wheat output (Saadalla et al., 1990). It happens when the time it takes to load grain with wheat is shortened by pushing it into exceptionally high temperatures between late March and mid-April. Heat stress is therefore one of the primary factors limiting wheat output in India. Wheat is a crop for the colder months, but it can be grown in a range of agroclimatic zones. The optimal temperature range for growth is roughly 25°C, with minimum and maximum values ranging between 3° and 4°C and 30° and 32°C, respectively (Briggle et al., 1980). Heat stress occurs when the average daily temperature in the coolest month of the winter falls below 17.5°C (Fischer et al., 1978).

Heat tolerance improving strategies could be helpful in sustaining wheat production. Plant breeders consistently prioritize harnessing the genetic diversity found within existing germplasm to attain desired traits (Pour-Aboughadareh *et al.*, 2018). Conventional breeding for heat tolerance involves the identification of potential germplasm, its utilization in hybridization programed and finally, the selection of tolerant lines (using associated traits) by growing them under heat stress conditions. Genotypic coefficients of variation, phenotypic coefficients of variation, heritability and genetic advance are quite helpful for getting a clear picture of existing variability and transmissibility of associated traits. Developing heat-resilient, highyielding wheat cultivars is essential to tackle the mounting challenges of global climate warming. Extensive efforts have been devoted to date towards crafting heat-tolerant wheat genotypes.

The goal of the investigation was to

- 1 Evaluate the variations in the morphological, physiological and quality parameters that contribute to yield between genotypes that are heat tolerant and sensitive under both optimum and heat stress conditions;
- 2 Determine the essential characteristics that might be helpful in breeding and choosing wheat with heat tolerance.

### **Material and Methods**

# Location, experimental site and experimental material

The experiments were conducted at College Farm, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari ( $20^{\circ}37$ ' North latitude and  $72^{\circ}54$ ' East longitude at an altitude of 11.98 m above the mean sea level) under irrigated condition. The experimental material consisted of forty-eight wheat lines (Table 1). These lines were evaluated for crop seasons under two conditions: non stress (Normal sowing:  $29^{th}$  November 2021) and stress (Late sowing:  $6^{th}$  January 2022) using RBD design with three replications.

### **Different parameters**

Days to heading, days to anthesis, days to maturity, plant height, effective tillers/plant, spike length, grains/spike, thousand grain weight, grain yield/plant, harvest index, biological yield/plant, grain filling duration, grain filling rate, canopy temperature, chlorophyll content, normalized differential vegetative index (NDVI) with grain quality traits such as starch content (%), protein content (%), sedimentation value (ml) and wet gluten (%) were assessed using the Near Infrared Transmittance (InfratecTM) machine manufactured by FOSS, Sweden Company. protection in this area were implemented.

#### **Environmental evaluation**

During the crop growing season (November to May), weather features were collected from the Department of Agricultural Meteorology at NMCA, NAU, Navsari. Figure-1 illustrates the annual precipitation (in millimetres), along with the minimum and maximum temperatures, as well as the relative humidity throughout the entire period of crop growth. Based on the meteorological data provided, delaying the sowing date by 38 days for heat stress has proven to be more effective in addressing the increased temperatures compared to sowing at the usual time.

### **Results and Discussion**

# Mean performance under optimum and heat stress environment

In crop breeding programs targeting the development of new varieties with enhanced yield potential, the efficacy of selection primarily hinges on the extent of genetic variability within the plant population. The comparative analysis of cultivar performance across various environments highlighted a pronounced influence of heat stress on the traits under investigation. Specifically, the mean values for DH, DA, DM, PH, SL, GS, TGW, HI, BY, GFD, GFR, CCI, NDVI, SC, and GY decreased under hightemperature conditions. In contrast, the mean values for CT, PC, WGC, and SV increased under heat stress (Table 2 and 3; Figure-2). This reduction shortened the grain filling period, ranging from 25 to 40 days under heat stress, compared to 28 to 52 days under optimal conditions. The chlorophyll content index was higher under optimal conditions than under heat stress at the heading stage, with average values of 37.72 in OE and 35.98 in HSE. Similarly, the NDVI, a key indicator for assessing vegetation health, was higher during the grain filling stage under OE (0.79) compared to HSE (0.72), indicating a significant reduction in plant greenness under heat stress. Canopy temperature increased by 20% under heat stress, with mean CT of 28.91°C in OE and 34.83°C in HSE during the grain filling stage, indicating that the late-sown crop experienced severe terminal heat stress. Stem solidness, an important architectural trait for maintaining an erect plant stand, contributes more significantly under OE (28.23%) compared to HSE (23.51%). This stress also led to increases in PC, WGC, and SV, with mean values rising by 8%, 5%, and 2%, respectively. For example, PC averaged 15.00% in HSE compared to 13.89% in OE, while WGC and SV values were 34.79% and 47.12 mL in HSE, compared to 32.92% and 45.82 mL under optimal conditions. Conversely, starch content (SC) decreased under heat stress, with a mean value of 62.09% compared to 62.57% under normal conditions. Grain yield (GY) also showed a substantial reduction of 20% due to heat stress, ranging from 5.27 (GW-173) to 9.62 (GS/2019-20/6046) g/plant under optimal conditions but dropping to 3.30 (EHT-2018-19/406) to 8.75 (GS/2019-20/6046) g/plant in the heat-stressed environment. The genotype GS/2019-20/7004 was found to be superior for the traits ET, GY, GFD, CCI, and NDVI under heat stress environments due to its

higher greenness and maximum chlorophyll content when it headed into the grain filling stage. This revealed that these genotypes had successfully completed photosynthetic activities, indicating that they fared better under heat stress.

Under timely sown, the genotype HTWYT/2019-20/8 had the highest protein content (15.63%), wet gluten content (36.43%) and sedimentation value (49.70 ml). This genotype is used for making goodquality bread and chapati. The genotype HTWYT/2019-20/17 (63.87%) recorded the highest value for starch content. Heat stress caused by delayed sowing improves some of the baking-quality related traits has been reported by Mahdavi et al. (2022). Under heat stress conditions, high temperature increase protein content, wet gluten content and the sedimentation value as compared to timely sown condition but reduces the starch content and overall yield because negative correlations found between yield and protein content. Severe heat decreased yield, whereas improved flour quality traits protein content, sedimentation value and wet gluten in the wheat genotypes has been reported by Mahdavi et al. (2022). Under heat stress, genotype HTWYT/2019-20/2 contain high protein content (16.73 %), high wet gluten (38.66 %) and high sedimentation value (51.17 ml) promising for making good quality bread and chapati while, RWP-2019-29 (63.23%) was observed highest value of starch content.

### **Genetic Variability**

The genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) was slightly lower than the phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) for all examined traits under both conditions (Table 2 and 3), suggesting that environmental factors had minimal influence on these traits.

Optimum environment: The PCV ranged from 1.23% to 58.83%, while the GCV ranged from 0.88% to 58.04% (Table 2 and 3). Higher values of GCV and PCV were consistently noted for ET (25.03%, 26.47%), SS (58.04%, 58.83%) and GFR (20.78%, 23.39%). Moderate GCV and PCV values were noted for SL (10.56%, 11.00%), GS (13.62%, 14.41%), HI (16.15%, 19.94%), BY (19.28%, 21.12%), GFD (14.37%, 15.33%) and GY (13.67%, 16.22%) across both sowing conditions. Low GCV and PCV values were noted for the following traits viz., DH (6.55%, 6.83%), DA (6.07%, 6.50%), DM (5.63%, 6.04%), PH (6.02%, 9.17%), SC (0.88%, 1.23%), CT (5.42%, 8.04%), SV (3.02%, 5.02%), NDVI (2.84%, 3.36%), WGC (3.58%, 5.14%), PC (4.85% and 7.01%) and CCI (6.78%, 7.76%).

**Heat stress environment:** The PCV ranged from 1.27% to 67.94%, with the GCV ranging from 0.86% to 67.19% (Table 2 and 3). Higher values of GCV and PCV were consistently noted for ET (20.05%, 21.78%), SS (67.19%, 67.94%) and grain yield per plant (20.16%, 22.65%). Moderate GCV and PCV values were noted for SL (11.41, 12.55), GS (12.83, 14.17), HI (13.01, 15.02), BY (15.77, 18.17), GFD (10.04%, 12.43%) and GFR (18.95%, 23.56%). Low GCV and PCV values were noted for the characters *viz.*, DH (4.91%, 5.49%), DA (4.71%, 5.22%), DM (5.44%, 5.82%), PH (5.48%, 6.74%), SC (0.86%, 1.27%), CT (1.25%, 2.19%), SV (2.38%, 4.85%), NDVI (2.77%, 4.38%), WGC (2.77%, 5.13%), PC (3.09%, 6.23%) and CCI (8.17%, 8.49%).

Under both the sowing conditions higher values of GCV and PCV were observed for effective tillers/plant and stem solidness, while the traits grain filling rate and grain yield/plant showed higher GCV and PCV values under timely sown condition and heat stress condition, respectively which suggested that there is a huge scope for improvement by applying selection in the required direction and also exhibited a vast variation among genotypes for these characters in respective conditions. Low GCV and PCV values under both conditions were recorded for the characters viz., days to heading, days to anthesis, days to maturity, plant height (cm), canopy temperature (°C), chlorophyll content index, normalized differential vegetative index, protein content (%), starch content (%), wet gluten content (%), sedimentation value (ml) its indicate a narrow range of variability for these traits and also restricting the scope of selection for these traits. The results were in agreement with Wahidy et al. (2016)., Jain et al. (2017)., Neeru et al. (2017)., Bhanu et al. (2018)., Kumar et al. (2018)., Raaj et al. (2018)., Tomar et al. (2019)., Thakur et al. (2020)., Shehrawat et al. (2021) and Lamara et al. (2022).

# Broad sense heritability $(H_b^2\%)$ and Genetic advance as per cent of mean (GAM)

**Optimum environment:** Traits such as for ET (89.45%, 48.78), SL (92.13%, 20.89), GS (89.40%, 26.54), BY (83.35%, 36.27), HI (65.62%, 26.94), SS (97.33%, 117.97), GFD (87.81%, 27.74), GFR (78.95%, 38.05) and GY (71.05%, 23.75) exhibited both high heritability and substantial GAM (Table 3). For the CCI (76.21%, 12.19), a combination of high heritability and moderate GAM was noted. High heritability with low GAM was observed for NDVI (71.43%, 4.94); moderate heritability with low GAM was observed for CT (45.44%, 7.53), PC (47.81%, 6.91), SC (51.51%, 1.31), WGC (48.61%, 5.51) and SV (36.27%, 3.75).

Heat stress environment: ET (84.77%, 38.03), SL (82.63%, 21.36), GS (82.01%, 23.93), BY (75.39%, 28.22), GY (79.24%, 36.97), GFR (64.71%, 31.43), and SS (97.78%, 136.87) exhibited high heritability coupled with substantial GAM (Table 2 and 3). DM (87.32%, 10.47), TWG (75.60%, 15.32), GFD (65.25%, 16.71) and CCI (92.58%, 16.20) showed high heritability paired with moderate GAM. High heritability with low genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed for DH (80.15%, 9.07), DA (81.35%, 8.75) and PH (66.02%, 9.17) indicative of non-additive gene action. NDVI (40.00%, 3.61) and SC (46.51%,1.21) displayed moderate heritability with low GAM. CT (24.57%,1.28), PC (24.63%,3.16), (29.24%,3.09), and WGC SV (24.16%, 2.41)demonstrated low heritability alongside low GAM.

Under both conditions high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean was recorded for effective tillers/plant, spike length (cm), grains/spike, biological yield/plant (g), grain yield/plant (g), grain filling rate (g/day) and stem solidness indicating the role of additive gene effects and less effect of environmental factors on the expression of the traits. Thus, the improvement of these traits could be achieved through direct phenotypic selection. The low heritability with low genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed for canopy temperature, protein content (%), gluten content (%) and sedimentation value (ml), it indicates the character is highly influenced that by environmental effects and selection would be ineffective. Similar results were also obtained by Islam et al. (2017), Meles et al. (2017), Bhanu et al. (2018), Tomar et al. (2019), Alemu et al. (2020), Kanwar et al. (2020), Porte et al. (2020), Thakur et al. (2020), Shehrawat et al. (2021), Hossain et al. (2021), Lamara et al. (2022) and Mahdavi et al. (2022).

Understanding the genetic variability within a crop species is vital for successful plant breeding programs. This knowledge broadens the range of traits available for selection, increasing the likelihood of developing superior varieties. Examining both heritable and non-heritable factors within the total variability streamlines the breeding process, offering precise insights into the evaluated population. In the present investigation, effective tillers/plant, spike length, grains/spike, biological yield/plant (g), harvest index, grain yield/plant (g), grain filling rate (g/day), grain filling duration and stem solidness showed moderate to high GCV and PCV, high heritability and moderate to high genetic advance as per cent mean under both conditions indicating additive gene action. Elevations in PCV, GCV, H<sup>2</sup><sub>b</sub>, and GAM promote

stable selection by aiding in the accumulation of alleles, mostly because additive genes are more common (Jamil *et al.* 2020). Therefore, direct phenotypic selection could be used to increase these features and also showed greater diversity among the genotypes for these traits for both conditions.

### Conclusion

Plant genotypes are responding to the global warming by modifying certain characteristics. As temperatures rise, there is an urgent need to develop cultivars capable of tolerating sudden fluctuations without compromising yield. A critical first step is to assess the potential of the current wheat germplasm to

withstand high temperatures. Recent research highlights the significant influence of heat stress on wheat genetic resources, affecting both physiological and quality traits. The stable traits effective tillers/plant, spike length, grains/spike, biological yield/plant (g), harvest index, grain yield/plant (g), grain filling rate (g/day), grain filling duration and stem solidness across environments can be valuable in early-stage genotype selection, aiding in the identification of candidates for further utilization in advancement-oriented breeding programs. Through, the genotypes GS/2019-20/7004 has emerged as a standout performer under heat stress conditions.

#### **Table 1 :** A list of wheat genotypes

Sr. No.	Genotype	Sr. No.	Genotype
1.	HTWYT/2019-20/2	25.	GS/2019-20/3060
2.	HTWYT/2019-20/8	26.	EHT-2018-19/401
3.	HTWYT/2019-20/11	27.	EHT-2018-19/403
4.	HTWYT/2019-20/17	28.	EHT-2018-19/406
5.	HTWYT/2019-20/30	29.	GS/2018-19/7042
6.	HTWYT/2019-20/34	30.	GS/2019-20/7004
7.	HTWYT/2019-20/40	31.	EHT-2019-20/732
8.	EHT-2018-19/407	32.	EHT-2019-20/735
9.	HPYT-2019-20/416	33.	GS/2018-19/6027
10.	HPYT-2019-20/418	34.	GS/2019-20/4003
11.	HPYT-2019-20/449	35.	HTWYT/2018- 19/36
12.	EHT-2018-19/443	36.	QST 1910
13.	CWYT 2018-19-630	37.	RWP 2019-31
14.	CWYT 2018-19-644	38.	DT RIL 110
15.	GS-2018-19/1007	39.	WYCYT 2018-20
16.	SAWYT-2018-19/309	40.	DT RIL 1
17.	RWP-2019-29	41.	GS/2018-19/4049
18.	GS/2019-20/5042	42.	WYCYT-2018-13
19.	DBW-166	43.	K 1317 ©
20.	GS/2019-20/6046	44.	GW 499 ©
21.	HI 1628	45.	HD 2932 ©
22.	HTWYT/2019- 20/39	46.	LOK 1 ©
23.	GS/2019-20/1003	47.	GW 173 ©
24.	GS/2019-20/3056	48.	GW 11 ©
© = Check variety	4		

SOV		Min	Max	Mean	GCV	PCV	$H_{b}^{2}$	GAM
Dava to heading	OE	48.00	66.33	55.03	6.55	6.83	91.88	12.93
Days to heading	HSE	47.33	61.66	54.10	4.91	5.49	80.15	9.07
Dava ta anthasia	OE	51.00	69.66	59.79	6.07	6.50	87.11	11.67
Days to anthesis	HSE	49.00	66.00	57.20	4.71	5.22	81.35	8.75
Dava ta maturity	OE	81.66	114.33	98.15	5.63	6.04	86.91	10.82
Days to maturity	HSE	74.00	106.00	89.10	5.44	5.82	87.32	10.47
Plant height (am)	OE	55.49	80.98	72.77	6.02	9.17	43.10	8.14
Flant height (chi)	HSE	55.45	76.31	68.85	5.48	6.74	66.02	9.17
Effective tillers/plant	OE	3.33	9.00	5.41	25.03	26.47	89.45	48.78
Effective tillers/plant	HSE	2.80	7.63	4.39	20.05	21.78	84.77	38.03
Spiles longth (am)	OE	5.04	11.22	8.15	10.56	11.00	92.13	20.89
Spike length (cm)	HSE	4.58	9.05	7.58	11.41	12.55	82.63	21.36
Crains par spika	OE	21.80	52.07	40.16	13.62	14.41	89.40	26.54
Granis per spike	HSE	27.87	47.75	37.29	12.83	14.17	82.01	23.93
Thousand grain weight (g)	OE	33.03	47.38	41.24	7.82	10.13	59.66	12.45
Thousand grain weight (g)	HSE	29.60	41.99	36.57	8.55	9.84	75.60	15.32
<b>Biological viold/plant</b> (g)	OE	10.08	26.23	17.90	19.28	21.12	83.35	36.27
Biological yleid/plaint (g)	HSE	10.47	21.79	15.37	15.77	18.17	75.39	28.22
Howyost index (07)	OE	30.87	56.96	40.12	16.15	19.94	65.62	26.94
Harvest muex (70)	HSE	23.31	58.97	36.39	13.01	15.02	57.12	23.38
Crain viold/plant (g)	OE	5.27	9.62	6.98	13.67	16.22	71.05	23.75
Gram yield/plant (g)	HSE	3.30	8.75	5.53	20.16	22.65	79.24	36.97
Source of variation (SOV); genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV%); phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV%);								
broad sense heritability $(H_{b}^{2})$ ; genetic advance per percent means (GAM).								

**Table 2 :** Variability, heritability (broad sense) and genetic advance percentage means estimate studied morphological traits across optimum environment (OE) and heat stress environment (HSE).

**Table 3 :** Variability, heritability (broad sense), and genetic advance percentage means estimate studied physioquality traits across optimum environment (OE) and heat stress environment (HSE).

SOV		Min	Max	Mean	GCV	PCV	$\mathbf{H}_{b}^{2}$	GAM
Crain filling duration	OE	28	52.33	38.31	14.37	15.33	87.81	27.74
Grain ming duration	HSE	25.00	40.00	31.90	10.04	12.43	65.25	16.71
Croin filling rate (g/day)	OE	0.13	0.32	0.19	20.78	23.39	78.95	38.05
Grain ming rate (g/uay)	HSE	0.11	0.30	0.17	18.95	23.56	64.71	31.43
Conony tomporature (°C)	OE	25.00	32.27	28.91	5.42	8.04	45.44	7.53
Canopy temperature (C)	HSE	32.20	35.95	34.83	1.25	2.19	24.57	1.28
Chlorophyll content index	OE	32.84	45.61	37.72	6.78	7.76	76.21	12.19
Chiorophyli content mdex	HSE	30.01	44.68	35.98	8.17	8.49	92.58	16.20
NDVI	OE	0.75	0.85	0.79	2.84	3.36	71.43	4.94
	HSE	0.67	0.79	0.72	2.77	4.38	40.00	3.61
Stom solidnoss (%)	OE	3.81	100	28.23	58.04	58.83	97.33	117.97
Stelli soliulless (%)	HSE	3.80	94.71	23.51	67.19	67.94	97.78	136.87
<b>Protoin</b> content $(\mathcal{O}_{r})$	OE	12.37	15.63	13.89	4.85	7.01	47.81	6.91
Frotein content (%)	HSE	13.70	16.73	15.00	3.09	6.23	24.63	3.16
Starch contant (%)	OE	61.17	63.87	62.57	0.88	1.23	51.51	1.31
Startin content (76)	HSE	60.67	63.23	62.09	0.86	1.27	46.51	1.21
Wat glutan contant $(\mathcal{D}_{a})$	OE	29.80	36.43	32.92	3.58	5.14	48.61	5.15
wet gluten content (70)	HSE	31.83	38.66	34.79	2.77	5.13	29.24	3.09
Sodimentation value (ml)	OE	41.10	49.70	45.82	3.02	5.02	36.27	3.75
Seumentation value (IIII)	HSE	42.87	51.17	47.12	2.38	4.85	24.16	2.41

Source of variation (SOV); genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV%); phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV%); broad sense heritability ( $H_b^2$ ); genetic advance per percent means (GAM).



#### Weather parameters, 2021-2022

#### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge NAU, Navsari for providing experimental land and other necessary facilities for conducting the experiment. We appreciate the facilities, resources, and research assistance provided by the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, N.M. College of Agriculture, NMCA, NAU, Navsari. We extend our gratitude to all parties who supported this study and contributed to the preparation of this article.

### References

- Alemu, Y.A., Anley, A.M. and Abebe, T.D. (2020). Genetic variability and association of traits in Ethiopian durum wheat (*Triticum turgidium* L. var. *durum*) landraces at Dabat Research Station, North Gondar. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*, 6(1): 1778604.
- Anonymous. (2012). Feeding the world: Trends in the crop sector in FAO statistical yearbook 2012 world food and agriculture (Rome, Italy: FAO), 182–197.
- Bhanu, A. N., Arun, B. and Mishra, V.K. (2018). Genetic variability, heritability and correlation study of

physiological and yield traits in relation to heat tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Biomedical Journal of Scientific and Technical Research*, **2**(1): 2112-2116.

- Briggle, L.W. (1980). Pre-harvest sprout damage in wheat in the US. Cereal Research Communications, 245-250.
- Fischer, R. and Maurer, R. (1978). Drought Resistance in Spring Wheat Cultivars. I. Grain Yield Responses. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, **29**(1): 897-912.
- Hossain, M. M., Azad, M. A. K., Alam, M. S., and Eaton, T. E. J. (2021). Estimation of variability, heritability and genetic advance for phenological, physiological and yield contributing attributes in wheat genotypes under heat stress condition. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 12(04): 586.
- Islam, A. U., Chhabra, A. K., Dhanda, S. S. and Peerzada, O. H. (2017). Genetic Diversity, Heritability and Correlation Studies for Yield and its Components in Bread Wheat under Heat Stress Conditions. *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, **12**(1): 71-77.
- Jain, N., Marker, S. and Kanwar, S. (2017). Assessment of genetic variability in late sown wheat (Triticum Aestivum L.) germplasm. Chemical Science Review and Letters, 6(22): 1295-1300.
- Jamil, A., Khan, S.J. and Ullah, K. (2020). Genetic diversity for cell membrane thermostability, yield and quality attributes in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Genetic Resources* and Crop Evolution 67, 1405–1414.
- Kanwar, A., Agrawal, A. P., Sharma, D. J. and Agrawal, H. P. (2020). Genetic parameters of variation and correlation analysis in wheat under terminal heat stress. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 9(5): 1795-1798.
- Kumar, A., Swati, S., Adhikari, A. K. S. and Prasad, B. (2018). Genetic dissection of wheat genotypes using morph physiological traits for terminal heat tolerance. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 2(7): 367-372.
- Lamara, A., Fellahi, Z. E. A., Hannachi, A. and Benniou, R. (2022). Assessing the phenotypic variation, heritability and genetic advance in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) candidate lines grown under rainfed semi-arid region of Algeria. *Revista Facultad Nacional de Agronomía Medellín*, **75**(3): 10107-10118.
- Mahdavi, S., Arzani, A., Maibody, S.M. and Kadivar, M. (2022). Grain and flour quality of wheat genotypes grown under heat stress. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 29(10), 103417.
- Meles, B.., Mohammed, W. and Tsehaye, Y. (2017). Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis of yield and grain quality traits in bread wheat (*Tritium aestivum* L.) genotypes at Axum, Northern Ethiopia. *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science*, 9(10): 175-185.

- Mishra, D., Shekhar, S., Chakraborty, S and Chakraborty, N. (2021). High temperature stress responses and wheat impacts and alleviation strategies. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, **190**, 104589.
- Narayanan, S. (2018). Effects of high temperature stress and traits associated with tolerance in wheat. *Open Access Journal of Science*, **2**(3), 177-186.
- Neeru, B., Panwar, I. S. and Singh, V. (2017). Genetic parameters of variability and path analysis in wheat under timely and late sown conditions. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(7): 1914-192.
- Porte, B., Agrawal, A. P. and Gupta, V. K. (2021). Genetic variability parameters studies under normal and stress conditions of wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **10**(1): 598-601.
- Pour-Aboughadareh, A., Ahmadi, J., Mehrabi, A. A., Moghaddam, M. and Etminan, A. (2018). Evaluation of agro-morphological diversity in wild relatives of wheat collected in Iran.
- Raaj, N., Singh, S. K., Kumar, A. and Kumar, A. (2018). Assessment of variability parameters in wheat in relation to terminal heat tolerance. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(6): 2155-2160.
- Rosegrant, M.W. and Agcaoili, M. (2010). Global Food Demand, Supply and Price Prospects to International Food Policy, Research Institute, Washington DC, USA.
- Saadalla, M. M., Shanahan, J. F., and Quick, J. S. (1990). Heat tolerance in winter wheat: I. Hardening and genetic effects on membrane thermostability. *Crop Science*, **30**(6), 1243-1247.
- Shehrawat, S. and Kumar, Y. (2021). Genetic Architecture of Morpho-Physiological Traits in Wheat Accessions under Terminal Heat Stress. *Ekin Journal of Crop Breeding and Genetics*, 7(1): 34-42.
- Thakur, P., Prasad, L. C., Prasad, R., Chandra, K. and Rashmi K. (2020). Estimation of genetic variability, heat susceptibility index and tolerance efficiency of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) for timely and late sown environments. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 11(3): 769-775.
- Tomar, P., Chand, P., Maurya, B. K., Singh, S. K., Singh, R., Kumar, P. and Yadav, M. K. (2019). Estimation of variability and genetic parameters for yield and quality traits in spring wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(4): 2006-2009.
- USDA. (2021). United States Department of Agriculture.
- Wahidy, S., Suresh, B. G. and Lavanya, G. R. (2016). Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in wheat germplasm (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development, 3(7): 24-27.